1. Introduction

A sandwich structure, whether beam or sheet, consists of two thin surface sheets of a rigid structure that bonded to a soft, flexible, and relatively thick core. Surfaces are usually made of thin solid metal sheets or composite laminate sheets. The cores are also often made of light polymers, foams, or honeycomb structures.

The higher order sandwich panel theory was developed by Frostig et al. [1], who considered two types of computational models to describe governing equations of the core layer. The second model assumed a polynomial description of the displacement fields in the core, which was based on the displacement fields of the first model. The improved higher-order sandwich plate theory (IHSAPT), applying the first-order shear deformation theory for the face sheets, was introduced by Malekzadeh et al. [2]. The first-order shear deformation theory [3, 4] incorporates the shear deformation effects, but it considers a constant transverse shear deformation along the thickness of the plate. Thus, it violates stress-free conditions at the bottom and top of the plate and needs a shear correction factor. To get accurate results and to avoid using shear correction factor, the higher-order shear deformation theory (HSDT) was developed. Reddy [5] employed a parabolic stress distribution along the thickness of the plate. His model didn’t need a shear correction factor because of satisfying free stress conditions at the bottom and top of the plate. The mechanical behavior of sheets is often studied and analyzed using plate theories. Most plate and shell theories are based on a kinematic assumption of displacement or the deformation of the object in three dimensions [6]. Sayyad and Ghugal [7, 8] used exponential and trigonometric shear deformation theories for bending and free vibration analysis of thick plates. Ghasemi and Mohandes [9] studied the free vibration analysis of fiber-metal laminate thin circular cylindrical shells with simply supported boundary conditions based on Love’s first approximation shell theory. The result demonstrated that with an increase in the axial and circumferential wavenumber, the gap between forward and backward frequencies increased. In addition, with an increase in the axial wavenumber, the natural frequency decreased and then increased. Nonlinear free vibration of an Euler-Bernoulli composite...
beam undergoing finite strain subjected to different boundary conditions was studied by Ghasemi et al. [10]. Free Vibration of Sandwich Panels with Smart Magneto-Rheological Layers and Flexible Cores has been studied by Payganeh et al. [11]. They used the exponential shear deformation theory in their analysis. Mozaffari et al. [12] examined the memory alloys on the free vibration behavior of flexible-core sandwich-composite panels. Qajar et al. [13] also analyzed the dynamic response of double curved composite shells under low-velocity impact. Khorshidi et al. [14] investigated the electro-mechanical free vibrations of composite rectangular piezoelectric nanoplate using modified shear deformation theories. One of the most important damages of sandwich structures is the separation in the middle layer between the core and the shell. The reason for this separation is the difference in Young’s modulus ratio between the core and the face sheet. The use of a material with high shear stress tolerance in the core weakens the adhesion of the middle layer. The core use of FGM or ER smart fluids eliminates all of these problems. Under the influence of electric fields, intelligent fluids exhibit rapid changes in hardness and damping properties. These fluids are also very suitable for vibration control over very large ranges. The concept of material-based ER adaptive structures was first put forward by Carlson et al. [15] in a patent filed with the US Patent Center. Most of the work published in the last few years has focused mainly on the experimental and theoretical aspects of ER adaptive structures [16-17]. However, research on adaptive MR sandwich structures is in its infancy. Some research has investigated the vibrational and damping properties of ER and MR materials with adaptive structures [18-19]. Yeh et al. [20] examined the vibrational properties and modal damping coefficient of circular sandwich sheets with orthotropic face sheets and ER core. Ramkumar and Gensan [21] used ER fluids as the core of a sandwich hollow column wall and compared the performance of ER fluid application with viscoelastic materials in changing the vibrational properties of the column. The most recent work on MR fluids is a study by Rajamohan et al. [22]. They modeled a sandwich beam with an MR core, considering the shear effects of the MR binding layer on the core and applying the equivalent shear modulus. They applied the finite element method to solve the problem and investigated the effects of magnetic field intensity on vibrational properties for different boundary conditions and forced loading. Free vibration analysis of porous laminated rotating circular cylindrical shells has been done by Ghasemi and Meskini [23]. Also, for the first time, Rajamohan et al. [24] investigated the vibrational properties of a partially filled MR sandwich beam both experimentally and via the finite element method. Rajamohan et al. [25] were the first who explored the model presented in [24] to find the optimal location of partial MR layers for maximizing the modal damping coefficient of sandwich beams. They tested the optimal location of the partial MR layers to maximize the first five modal damping coefficients of the beam separately and simultaneously.

In this study, based on the displacement field of each layer, the kinetic energy and strain energy are separately obtained for each layer. Using total kinetic energy and total strain energy, in the Hamiltonian principle, the structural motion equation is obtained. Primary attention is focused on the effects of electric field magnitude, geometric aspect ratio, and ER core layer thickness on dynamic characteristics of the sandwich plate. Natural frequencies and loss factor for the electric fields, as well as the ratio of different thicknesses are calculated by Galerkin analytical method. As the applied electric field increases, the natural frequency of the sandwich plate increases, and the modal loss factor decreases. With increasing the thickness of the ER layer, the natural frequencies of the sandwich plate are decreased.

2. Obtaining the equations that govern the problem

The assumptions for modeling the problem are as follows:

- Face sheets are elastic and can be an isotropic, orthotropic, or composite material.
- It is assumed that there is no slipping between the elastic layers of the ER layer.
- Transverse displacement is assumed to be identical for all points on a hypothetical cross-sectional area.
- It is assumed that there is no normal stress in the ER layer.
- The ER is modeled as a linear viscoelastic material in pre-submission conditions.
- The ER used in the Core completely covers the core with the displacements considered linear and small, and the face sheet is assumed to be thin.

The improved high-order theory of sandwich plates has been used to derive the governing equations. According to this theory, for composite sheets, the first-order shear deformation theory is used while the displacement sentence term, which is based on Frostig’s second-order displacements, is used for the core. In this case, the unknowns are fixed polynomial coefficients. Further, in this study, the displacements of the face sheets for the core and the surfaces are assumed to be very dynamic. Figure 1 shows a flat sandwich sheet with two laminated composite sheets on its faces.
The thickness of the top sheet, the bottom sheet, and the core are as follows: $h_t$, $h_b$, $h_c$. The sandwich panel is supposed to have length $a$, width $b$, and total thickness $h$. The orthogonal coordinates $(x_i, y_i, z_i$ $i = t, b, c)$ are also shown in Fig. 1. In this study, the $t$ index corresponds to the upper sheet, the $b$ index to the lower sheet, and the $c$ index to the core.

2.1. Displacement fields and strain relations - Displacement for face sheets and core

According to the first-order shear deformation theory, the displacements $u$, $v$, and $w$ face sheets in the $x$, $y$, and $z$ directions assume small linear displacements as shown in Eq. (1):

\[
\begin{align*}
    u_i(x, z, y, t) &= u_i^0(x, y, t) + z_i\phi_i^z(x, y, t) \\
    v_i(x, z, y, t) &= v_i^0(x, y, t) + z_i\phi_i^y(x, y, t) \\
    w_i(x, z, y, t) &= w_i^0(x, y, t) ; \quad (i = t, b)
\end{align*}
\]

where $z_i$ is the vertical coordinate of each face sheet ($i = t , b$), measured upward from the mid-plane of each face sheet. Kinematic equations of the face sheets are as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
    \epsilon_{xx}^i &= \epsilon_{xx}^0 + z_i\kappa_{xx}^i \\
    \epsilon_{yy}^i &= \epsilon_{yy}^0 + z_i\kappa_{yy}^i \\
    \epsilon_{zz}^i &= 0 \\
    \gamma_{xy}^i &= 2\epsilon_{xy}^i = \epsilon_{xy}^0 + z_i\kappa_{xy}^i, \quad i = t, b \\
    \gamma_{xz}^i &= 2\epsilon_{xz}^i = \epsilon_{xz}^0, \\
    \gamma_{zx}^i &= 2\epsilon_{zx}^i = \epsilon_{zx}^0, \\
    \gamma_{yy}^i &= \frac{\partial u_i^0}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v_i^0}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial w_i^0}{\partial x} + \phi_i^z \\
    \gamma_{yx}^i &= \frac{\partial u_i^0}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v_i^0}{\partial x}, \quad \epsilon_{zz}^i = \frac{\partial w_i^0}{\partial x} + \phi_i^z \\
    \gamma_{yy}^i &= \frac{\partial w_i^0}{\partial y}, \quad K_{yy}^i = \frac{\partial w_i^0}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial w_i^0}{\partial y}
\end{align*}
\]

As can be seen, $\epsilon_{zz}$ for the face sheets are equal to zero. This means that the face sheets are assumed to be rigid in the $Z$ direction. Displacement relations are based on Frostig’s second model for the thick core in the form of Eqs. (4) [26]:

\[
\begin{align*}
    u_c(x, y, z, t) &= u_c^0(x, y, t) + z_cw_c^0(x, y, t) + z_c^2w_c^0(x, y, t) \\
    v_c(x, y, z, t) &= v_c^0(x, y, t) + z_cw_c^0(x, y, t) + z_c^2w_c^0(x, y, t) \\
    w_c(x, y, z, t) &= w_c^0(x, y, t) + z_cw_c^0(x, y, t) + z_c^2w_c^0(x, y, t)
\end{align*}
\]

The kinematic relationships of the core in a sandwich panel are based on the relation of small deformations:

\[
\begin{align*}
    \varepsilon_{xx}^c &= \frac{\partial u_c^0}{\partial x}, \varepsilon_{yx}^c = \frac{\partial u_c^0}{\partial y}, \varepsilon_{zx}^c = w_c^0 + 2zw_c^0, \\
    \gamma_{xy}^c &= 2\varepsilon_{xy}^c = \frac{\partial v_c^0}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u_c^0}{\partial y} \\
    \gamma_{xz}^c &= 2\varepsilon_{xz}^c = \frac{\partial w_c^0}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u_c^0}{\partial z} \\
    \gamma_{yz}^c &= 2\varepsilon_{yz}^c = \frac{\partial w_c^0}{\partial y}
\end{align*}
\]

2.2. Compatibility Conditions

Assuming perfect bonding between the top and bottom face sheet-core interfaces, the compatibility conditions are as shown below:

\[
\begin{align*}
    u_c(z = z_{ct}) &= u_0^t + \frac{1}{2}(-1)^k h_t\phi_k^t \\
    v_c(z = z_{ct}) &= v_0^t + \frac{1}{2}(-1)^k h_t\phi_k^t \\
    w_c(z = z_{ct}) &= w_0^t ; \quad i = t \rightarrow k = 1; \quad z_{ct} = \frac{h_t}{2} \\
    i = b \rightarrow k = 0; \quad z_{cb} = -\frac{h_t}{2}
\end{align*}
\]

By replacing Eqs. (4) and (6) in Eq. (5) and some simplification, the compatibility conditions are transformed into (7):

\[
\begin{align*}
    u^c_i &= \frac{[2(u_0^t + u_0^b) - h_c\phi_k^c + h_b\phi_k^b - 4u_0^c]}{h_c^2} \\
    v^c_i &= \frac{[4(u_0^t + u_0^b) - 2(h_c\phi_k^c + h_b\phi_k^b)]}{4h_c^2u_c^0 - 4h_cu_c^0/R_{xc}} \\
    w^c_i &= \frac{[2(v_0^t + v_0^b) - 4v_0^c]}{h_c^2} \\
    v^c_i &= \frac{[4(v_0^t + v_0^b) - 2(h_c\phi_k^c + h_b\phi_k^b)]}{4h_c^2u_c^0 - 4h_cu_c^0/R_{yc}} \\
    w^c_i &= \frac{[2(w_0^t + w_0^b) - 4w_0^c]}{h_c^2}
\end{align*}
\]
According to Eq. (7), it is observed that the number of unknowns in the core layer is reduced to five, which are: \( u_z^c, v_z^c, \phi_x^c, \phi_y^c, w_z^c \). Thus, in general, all the unknowns for a flat composite sandwich panel are 15, which are [27]:

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{xx}^c &= G_{2b(xx)}^c y_x^c, \\
\sigma_{yy}^c &= G_{2b(yy)}^c y_y^c, \\
\sigma_{xy}^c &= G_{2b(xy)}^c, \\
\sigma_{yz}^c &= G_{2b(yz)}^c y_y^c, \\
\sigma_{xz}^c &= G_{2b(xz)}^c y_x^c, \\
\tau_{xy}^c &= G_{2b(xy)}^c, \\
\tau_{yz}^c &= G_{2b(yz)}^c y_y^c, \\
\tau_{xz}^c &= G_{2b(xz)}^c y_x^c.
\end{align*}
\]

2.3. Relationships between stresses, resulting stresses, and moments of inertia of the core and face sheet

As mentioned, there is no normal stress in the ER layer, and only transverse shear stresses are:

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{xx}^c &= G_{2b(xx)}^c y_x^c, \\
\sigma_{yy}^c &= G_{2b(yy)}^c y_y^c, \\
\sigma_{xy}^c &= G_{2b(xy)}^c, \\
\sigma_{yz}^c &= G_{2b(yz)}^c y_y^c, \\
\sigma_{xz}^c &= G_{2b(xz)}^c y_x^c, \\
\tau_{xy}^c &= G_{2b(xy)}^c, \\
\tau_{yz}^c &= G_{2b(yz)}^c y_y^c, \\
\tau_{xz}^c &= G_{2b(xz)}^c y_x^c.
\end{align*}
\]

In this article, the modified Yalcintas model [28] will be used as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
G_{2b(xx)}^c &= G_{b(xx)}^c + G_{b(xy)}^c, \\
G_{2b(yy)}^c &= G_{b(yy)}^c + G_{b(xy)}^c, \\
G_{2b(xy)}^c &= G_{b(xy)}^c + 50.000E^2, \\
G_{2b(xz)}^c &= G_{b(xz)}^c + 2600E + 1700
\end{align*}
\]

where \( G_{b(xx)}^c \) is the coefficient of shear reserve, and \( G_{b(xy)}^c \) is Wasting factor.

The effect of the electric field on the vibration response of the ER sandwich plate can be seen for electric field levels of 0, 1, 2, and 3.5 kV/mm, respectively. Following [29], the results of the stress for the core can be written as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{N_{xx}^c}{N_{yy}^c} &= \int \frac{\sigma_{xx}^c}{\sigma_{yy}^c} dz_c, \\
\frac{M_{xx}^c}{M_{yy}^c} &= \int \frac{\sigma_{xy}^c}{\sigma_{yy}^c} dz_c, \\
\frac{N_{xx}^c}{N_{yy}^c} &= \int \frac{\sigma_{xx}^c}{\sigma_{yy}^c} dz_c, \\
\frac{M_{xx}^c}{M_{yy}^c} &= \int \frac{\sigma_{xy}^c}{\sigma_{yy}^c} dz_c, \\
\frac{R_{xx}^c}{R_{yy}^c} &= \int (1, z_c) \sigma_{zz}^c dz_c, \\
\end{align*}
\]

If the face sheet is made of several orthotropic layers with different angles of rotation relative to the original coordinates, Relation (11) expresses the stress of the \( k \)-th layer [30].
\[ Q_{yz} = k[A_{44}(\phi_y + w_y) + A_{45}(\phi_z + w_z)] \]
\[ Q_{xz} = k[A_{45}(\phi_y + w_y) + A_{55}(\phi_z + w_z)] \]

The stiffness coefficients are defined as follows:
\[
(A_{ij}, B_{ij}, D_{ij}) = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} Q_{ij}(1, z, z^2) dz_m \]
\[
= \left( \sum_{k=1}^{N} Q_{ij}^{(k)} \right) (z_{k+1} - z_k) \]
\[
A_{ij} = \left( \sum_{k=1}^{N} Q_{ij}^{(k)} \right) \]
\[
B_{ij} = \left( \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{N} Q_{ij}^{(k)} z_{k+1}^2 - z_k^2 \right) \]
\[
D_{ij} = \left( \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{N} Q_{ij}^{(k)} (z_{k+1}^2 - z_k^2) \right) i, j = 1, 2, 6 \]
\[
= t, b \]
\[
A_{ij} = \left( \sum_{k=1}^{N} Q_{ij}^{(k)} \right) \]
\[
= i, j = 4, 5 \]
\[
= m, t, b \]

The following integrals are defined to express the equations of motion in terms of displacement and to facilitate the process of solving equations:
\[
e_n^{(xx)} = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} Z_c E_{xx}(Z) dz \quad n = 0.1.2.3 \]
\[
e_n^{(yy)} = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} Z_c E_{yy}(Z) dz \quad n = 0.1.2.3 \]
\[
g_n^{(xy)} = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} Z_c G_{xy}(Z) dz \quad n = 0.1.2.3 \]
\[
g_n^{(xz)} = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} Z_c G_{xz}(Z) dz \quad n = 0.1.2.3 \]

The moment of inertia of the core is as follows:
\[
I_c = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} Z_c \rho_z^2 dz_c \quad n = 0, 1, ... 6 \]
\[
(\text{expression for } I_n) \]

Also, the moment of inertia of the face sheets in the relation is:
\[
I_n = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} Z_n \rho_i dz_n i, t, b \]
\[
(\text{expression for } I_n) \]

Stress resultants per unit length for top and bottom face sheets can be defined as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\{N_{i}^{i}\} &= \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \{\sigma_{xi}\} dz_i \\
\{N_{i}^{y}\} &= \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \{\sigma_{yi}\} dz_i \\
\{M_{i}^{x}\} &= \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \{\sigma_{xi}\} dz_i \\
\{M_{i}^{y}\} &= \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \{\sigma_{yi}\} dz_i \\
\{Q_{i}^{x}\} &= k_s \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \{\sigma_{xz}\} dz_i \\
\{Q_{i}^{y}\} &= k_s \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \{\sigma_{yz}\} dz_i \\
\end{align*}
\]

where \( k_s \) is the shear correction factor.

2.4. Applying the Hamiltonian principle

To obtain the equations governing motion, we use the Hamiltonian [20] principle, which states:
\[
\int_{0}^{t} \delta K dt = \int_{0}^{t} (\delta K - \delta U + \delta W_{ext}) dt = 0. \]

where \( \delta K \) represents the kinetic energy variations, \( \delta U \) denotes the potential energy variations, and \( \delta W_{ext} \) shows the energy variations caused by the forces on the problem. Here, for studying free vibrations, the dynamically distributed vertical loads on the upper surface of \( q_c \) and \( q_b \) are defined as zero.

\[
\delta W_{ext} = \int_{A} \left( -q_c \delta w_c^i + q_b \delta w_b^i \right) dx dy \]

Assuming homogeneous conditions for displacement and velocity for the time coordinate for a sandwich plate, the kinetic and potential energies variations can be generalized as:
\[
\delta K = - \sum_{t, b, c} \int_{A} \left[ \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \left( u_{ci} \delta u_{ci} + v_{ci} \delta v_{ci} + w_{ci} \delta w_{ci} \right) dz \right] dA_i + \int_{A} \left[ \int_{V_i} \left( \sum_{c=1}^{3} \left( \delta e_{xx} + \delta e_{yy} + \delta e_{xy} \right) \right) dV_c \right]
\]

\[
\delta U = \sum_{t, b, c} \int_{V_i} \left( \int_{A} \left( \sigma_{xx} \delta u_{xx} + \sigma_{xy} \delta u_{xy} + \sigma_{yy} \delta v_{yy} + \tau_{xx} \delta u_{yy} + \tau_{xy} \delta u_{xy} + \tau_{yy} \delta v_{xy} \right) \right) dV_c
\]

Finally, the 15 equations of motion for the flat sandwich plate with the ER core are obtained using the Hamiltonian principle. Given the long equations, only one equation is given as an example:

\[
\delta W_{0}^{c}, 4M_{2xx}^{c} + \frac{\partial N_{xx}^{c}}{\partial x} - 4 \frac{\partial M_{2xx}^{c}}{\partial x} - 4 \frac{\partial M_{2yz}^{c}}{\partial y} = 0
\]
+ \frac{\partial^2 W_i}{\partial y^2} + \frac{8}{h_c^2} M_c^2 \left( \frac{16I_2}{h_c^2} - \frac{8I_3}{h_c^2} + I_4^o \right) W_i^b + \\
\left( \frac{2I_3}{h_c^2} - \frac{8I_4}{h_c^2} + \frac{I_5}{h_c} + \frac{4I_6}{h_c^2} \right) W_i^b + \\
\left( \frac{2I_4}{h_c^2} - \frac{8I_5}{h_c^2} + \frac{I_6}{h_c} + \frac{4I_7}{h_c^2} \right) W_0^b

Displacement fields based on the double Fourier series for a flat composite sandwich panel with a simply supported boundary condition at the top and bottom face sheets are assumed to be in the following form \((i = \text{th})\) \([32]\):

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{bmatrix}
U_i(x,y,t) \\
V_i(x,y,t) \\
v_i(x,y,t) \\
\phi_i(x,y,t) \\
\psi_i(x,y,t) \\
w_i(x,y,t)
\end{bmatrix} &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \begin{bmatrix}
U_i^{mn}(\alpha_m x) \sin(\beta_n y) \\
V_i^{mn}(\alpha_m x) \cos(\beta_n y) \\
v_i^{mn}(\alpha_m x) \sin(\beta_n y) \\
\phi_i^{mn}(\alpha_m x) \cos(\beta_n y) \\
\psi_i^{mn}(\alpha_m x) \sin(\beta_n y) \\
w_i^{mn}(\alpha_m x) \sin(\beta_n y)
\end{bmatrix} e^{i\omega t} \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \begin{bmatrix}
U_i^{mn}(\alpha_m x) \sin(\beta_n y) \\
V_i^{mn}(\alpha_m x) \cos(\beta_n y) \\
v_i^{mn}(\alpha_m x) \sin(\beta_n y) \\
\phi_i^{mn}(\alpha_m x) \cos(\beta_n y) \\
\psi_i^{mn}(\alpha_m x) \sin(\beta_n y) \\
w_i^{mn}(\alpha_m x) \sin(\beta_n y)
\end{bmatrix} e^{i\omega t}
\end{align*}
\]

(k = 0,1,2,3), \((i = 0,1,2,3)\)

Where \(\alpha_m = \frac{m \pi}{a}\) and \(\beta_n = \frac{n \pi}{b}\)

When all edges are clamped, functions \(\cos(\alpha_m x)\) and \(\cos(\beta_n y)\) in the above series expansions must be replaced with \(\sin(\alpha_m x)\) and \(\sin(\beta_n y)\), respectively.

In Eq. (27), \(U_i^{mn}, V_i^{mn}, \omega_i^{mn}, \phi_i^{mn}, \psi_i^{mn}, U_i^{mn}, V_i^{mn}, W_i^{mn}\) are the Fourier coefficients, and \(m\) and \(n\) are half wavenumbers along \(x\) and \(y\) directions, respectively. By substituting stress resultants (Eq. (27)), compatibility conditions (Eq. (7)), and displacement field (Eq. (30)) in the governing equations (Eqs. (11)-(25)), applying the Galerkin method, and collecting coefficients, the eigenvalue equation is obtained as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
[M]\ddot{c} + [K][c] &= 0 \quad \text{(28)} \\
\{c\} &= \{U_i^{mn}, \omega_i^{mn}, \phi_i^{mn}, \psi_i^{mn}, \omega_i^{mn}, \phi_i^{mn}, \psi_i^{mn}, U_i^{mn}, V_i^{mn}, \omega_i^{mn}, \phi_i^{mn}, \psi_i^{mn}, U_i^{mn}, V_i^{mn}, \omega_i^{mn}, \phi_i^{mn}, \psi_i^{mn}\}
\]

Hence, the problem of free vibration of the sandwich plate with simple support becomes the standard equation of structural response; \([K]\) represents stiffness matrices, and \([M]\) represents matrices of mass. Finally, by assuming free vibrations, one can calculate the natural frequencies, \(\omega\), and modal damping coefficients \(\eta_v\) for different vibrational modes from Eq (29): \([22-24]\):

\[
\omega = \sqrt{\frac{Re(\bar{\omega}^2)}{Im(\bar{\omega}^2)}} \\
\eta_v = \frac{Im(\bar{\omega}^2)}{Re(\bar{\omega}^2)}
\]

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Validation of Equations

Here are two examples (Example 1: Flat sandwich plate with an aluminum case and MR intelligent oil core and Example 2: Flat sandwich plate with an aluminum face sheet and ER smart liquid core) of the structure and the results are discussed. To verify the equations obtained, the results obtained in the present work are compared with a recent study and definitely with the MR core. Then, the results obtained with the ER core are reviewed.

The mechanical and geometrical properties of the structure considered in example 1 are presented in Table 1. The upper and lower portions of the pure aluminum are \([0,0,0]\), and the sheet is symmetrical to the middle plate.

Table 2 presents the results of the current study for a flat sandwich panel with MR core using the improved high-order theory of sandwich panel, it further compared the results with those obtained from the classical theory of multilayer sheets \([33]\), Kirchhoff's theory is used for the face sheets \([33]\). Table 4 presents the results of this study for a flat sandwich panel with ER core. In Table 2 using the improved high-order theory of sandwich panel, compared with the findings of the classical theory of multilayer Sheets \([34]\).

3.2. Free vibration analysis

In this section, the free vibrations of a composite sandwich panel and ER core are investigated. The effects of changing the thickness of the ER layer and the electric field intensity are also examined on the natural frequencies of the sheet. The lay-up sequences for face sheets were \([0/0/0/\text{core}/0/0/0]\), and the sandwich panel was symmetric around the mid-plane. Mechanical and geometrical properties of the flat sandwich panel with an aluminum face sheet and ER core are presented in Table 3.
Kirchhoff’s theory was used in the face sheet for reference [34]. Table 5 displays the first natural frequency and the corresponding modal loss factor for the first few mode numbers (m,n = 1,2), selected ER core layer thickness parameters (h_c / h = 1.4), geometric aspect ratios (a/b = 1,2,4), and electric field strengths (E =0,1,2,3.5 kV/mm).

The most important observations are as follows. The natural frequencies increase with increasing the electric field strength and/or the geometric aspect ratio. In particular, the effect of increasing electric field strength is more evident on the natural frequencies associated with lower mode numbers in comparison with those of the higher modes.

The natural frequencies decrease with increasing ER core layer thickness. On the other hand, increasing the electric field strength appears to have different effects on the modal loss factors, depending on the geometric aspect ratio.

3.2.1 Natural frequency of flat sandwich plate with ER core

Fig. 2 illustrates the comparison of the frequencies obtained with different electric fields.

The natural frequencies of the sandwich plate with different electric fields are shown in Fig. 2. The effect of the electric field on the vibration response of the ER sandwich plate can be seen for electric field levels of 0, 1, 2, and 3.5 kV/mm. It can be seen that higher electric field strength increases the natural frequencies of the sandwich plate.

Fig. 3 compares the damping coefficient in terms of vibrational modes and different electric fields. Fig. 3 shows the variations in the modal loss factor as a function of electric field. It can be seen that the modal loss factor decreases as the electric field increases. Also, a relative decrease in the modal loss factor can be observed with increasing mode number.

3.2.2 Influence of the ratio of core thickness to total sheet thickness on the first natural frequency

The thickness of the core has an important effect on the vibration of the sheet. Fig. 4 reveals the diagram of the first frequency changes of the flat sandwich plate with an ER core in terms of different core thickness to plate thickness (h_c / h) ratios for different electric field intensities (KV / mm) at a = b.

It is observed from Fig. 4 that by increasing the ratio of core thickness up to total sheet thickness, the natural frequency of the sheet diminishes. Since the core is made of oil and composite surfaces, the core modulus is smaller than the surface. Also, as the core thickness-to-whole ratio increases, the overall sheet modulus drops. As a result, the natural frequency of the sheet is also reduced.
Table 5: First-fourth frequencies and modal damping coefficients for the first four vibration modes for core thickness, field intensity, and different aspect ratio of the flat sandwich panel with aluminum face sheets and an ER core

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>$h_c / h_t$</th>
<th>$E=0$ KV/mm</th>
<th>$E=1$ KV/mm</th>
<th>$E=2$ KV/mm</th>
<th>$E=3.5$ KV/mm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$a/b$</td>
<td>$\omega(Hz)$</td>
<td>$\eta_v$</td>
<td>$\omega(Hz)$</td>
<td>$\eta_v$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1,1)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13.191</td>
<td>0.0172</td>
<td>16.0786</td>
<td>0.0269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>32.9776</td>
<td>0.0068</td>
<td>36.111</td>
<td>0.0140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>112.126</td>
<td>0.0020</td>
<td>115.399</td>
<td>0.0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10.0634</td>
<td>0.0268</td>
<td>13.4363</td>
<td>0.0373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>25.1577</td>
<td>0.0107</td>
<td>28.8489</td>
<td>0.0205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>85.5375</td>
<td>0.0031</td>
<td>89.4219</td>
<td>0.0072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1,2)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>32.9776</td>
<td>0.0068</td>
<td>36.1111</td>
<td>0.0140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>52.7606</td>
<td>0.0043</td>
<td>55.9659</td>
<td>0.0094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>131.904</td>
<td>0.0017</td>
<td>135.186</td>
<td>0.0041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>25.1577</td>
<td>0.0107</td>
<td>28.8489</td>
<td>0.0205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>40.2495</td>
<td>0.0067</td>
<td>44.0391</td>
<td>0.0141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>100.625</td>
<td>0.0026</td>
<td>104.523</td>
<td>0.0062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2,1)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>32.9776</td>
<td>0.0068</td>
<td>36.1111</td>
<td>0.0140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>112.126</td>
<td>0.0020</td>
<td>115.399</td>
<td>0.0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>428.669</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>431.988</td>
<td>0.0013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>428.669</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>431.988</td>
<td>0.0013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>85.5375</td>
<td>0.0031</td>
<td>89.4219</td>
<td>0.0072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>327.018</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>330.975</td>
<td>0.0020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2,2)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>52.7606</td>
<td>0.0043</td>
<td>55.9659</td>
<td>0.0094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>131.904</td>
<td>0.0017</td>
<td>135.186</td>
<td>0.0041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>448.44</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>451.759</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>40.2495</td>
<td>0.0067</td>
<td>44.0391</td>
<td>0.0144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>100.625</td>
<td>0.0026</td>
<td>104.523</td>
<td>0.0062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>342.2</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
<td>346.053</td>
<td>0.0019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The oil density is also high, and as the amount of oil increases, the sheet becomes significantly heavier—hence the stiffness-to-mass ratio falls, resulting in a decline in the natural frequency of the sheet.

3.2.3 Influence of fiber angle on the natural frequency

Fig. 5 displays the diagram of the natural frequency changes of the first flat sandwich plate with an ER core in terms of the lay-up sequences for face sheets. From Fig. 5, it is observed that the maximum level of the natural frequency occurs in a state $\theta$ equal to 45 degrees, as in this case the flexural stiffness has its maximum value. Also, with increasing electric field strength in higher modes, natural frequencies increase as well.

3.2.4 Influence of electric field intensity on the natural frequency

Fig. 6 reveals the diagram of the first natural frequency variations of the flat sandwich plate with an ER core in terms of electric field intensity for different aspect ratios.
Fig. 4. Diagram of the first frequency changes of the sheet in different ratios of the core to sheet thickness for different electric field intensities.

Fig. 5. (a) Diagram of the first frequency changes of the sheet in terms of the different fiber orientations layer of the composite face sheet and (b) diagram of the fourth frequency changes of the sheet in terms of the different fiber orientations layer of the composite face sheet.

Fig. 6. Diagram of the first frequency change of the sheet in terms of electric field intensity for different aspect ratios.

Fig. 7. Diagram of the first frequency changes of the sheet in terms of aspect ratio for different electric field intensities.

Fig. 6 shows that the natural frequency of the sheet increases with increasing the intensity of the electric field. It is because, according to (9) and (10), as the electric field increases, so does the structural stiffness, and thus the natural frequency is also enhanced.

However, this rise in frequency only proceeds partly from the increase in the electric field intensity and does not grow from one value to the next. Also, it is almost proved to be saturated as the intensity of the electric field, which is approximately 3.5 kV/mm in this study.

3.2.5. The effect of aspect ratio on the natural frequency

Figure 7 reveals the diagram of the first natural frequency variations of the flat sandwich plate with an ER core in terms of the aspect ratio for the intensity of different electric fields. According to Fig. 7, it is observed that with increasing the aspect ratio, the natural frequency of the sheet increases. With the rise of the aspect ratio, the sheet gradually becomes a beam with enhanced transverse stiffness and hence augmented natural frequency. By raising the intensity of the electric field from one point to the next, its effect on the natural frequency decreases. This is due to the saturation point; by increasing the intensity of the electric field, it saturates the oil at a given electric field intensity, after which increasing the field will not have much effect on increasing the rigidity and natural frequency of the sheet.
3.2.6. Influence of length-to-thickness ratio on the natural frequency

Fig. 8 reveals the diagram of the natural frequency variations of the first flat sandwich plate with an ER core in terms of length to thickness ratio. According to Fig. 8 with increasing the length-to-thickness ratio, the natural frequency of the sheet decreases. As the ratio grows, the sheet becomes thinner and, as a result, its stiffness drops.

4. Conclusions

In this research, an extensive study was done on the modeling of a flat sandwich plate with an ER core. For the first time, the governing equations associated with the vibration behavior of a flat sandwich plate with a thick ER core were extracted. The obtained equations for the simply-supported boundary conditions discretized by the Galerkin method. Finally, the effects of different parameters on the vibrational characteristics of the sandwich plate with an ER layer were illustrated. Numerical results can be summarized as follows:

The generality of the problem indicates an increase in the natural frequencies of the sandwich plate owing to the existence of the ER. Thus, by creating an electric field whose intensity can be controlled, the natural frequencies and thus the vibrations of the structure can be controlled. According to the analytical results, the electric field will change the stiffness of the sandwich plate. As the applied electric field increases, the natural frequency of the sandwich plate increases too.

On the other hand, the modal loss factor of the sandwich plate plays an important role in the stability of the damped structures. It can be seen that the modal loss factor decreases as the electric field increases. Also, a relative decrease in the modal loss factor can be observed with increasing the mode number.

The effect of the ER layer thickness on the core is such that by increasing the core thickness to sheet ratio for a constant electric field intensity, the frequency drops. Since the core is made of fluid and composite surfaces, the rigidity of the core is lower than that of the layer, and as a consequence, the overall rigidity decreases. Also, as the fluid content rises, the sheet becomes significantly heavier, and the stiffness-to-mass ratio diminishes.

The natural frequencies increase with increasing geometric aspect ratio, whereas they tend to decrease with increasing the ER core layer thickness. As the aspect ratio increases, the sheet gradually becomes a beam whose transverse stiffness grows, and thus the natural frequency increases.

By increasing the length-to-thickness ratio, the natural frequency of the sheet decreases. As this ratio grows, the sheet becomes thinner and, as a result, its stiffness declines. Thus, by changing this parameter, the natural frequency of the structure can also be obtained within the desired range. Finally, it is observed that applying an inappropriate electric field may significantly degrade the vibration control performance of the ERF-based plate, or even lead to maximum structural vibration levels. The effects of the sandwich structure with an ER core on the dynamic stability of plates and shells are also interesting topics to be studied.

Nomenclature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$I_{ih}^i (i = t, b, c)$</th>
<th>The moments of inertia of the top and bottom face sheets and the core</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$M_{ij}^c$</td>
<td>Normal bending moments per unit length of the edge of the core</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_{iyy}, M_{iyy}, M_{iyy}$</td>
<td>Bending and shear moments per unit length of the edge (i=t,b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_{xxi}, M_{xxi}, M_{xxi}$</td>
<td>Shear and bending moments per unit length of the edge of the core,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_{iyy}, N_{iyy}, N_{iyy}$</td>
<td>In-plane and shear forces per unit length of the edge (i=t,b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Q_{ij}$</td>
<td>The reduced stiffness associated with the principal material coordinates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\bar{Q}_{ij}$</td>
<td>Transformed reduced stiffnesses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u_k, v_k, w_k$</td>
<td>Unknowns of the in-plane displacements of the core (k=0,1,2,3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u_c, v_c, w_c$</td>
<td>Displacement components of the core</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u_i^l, v_i^l, w_i^l$</td>
<td>Displacement components of the face sheets (i = t, b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u_c^l, \bar{v}_c^l, \bar{w}_c^l$</td>
<td>Acceleration components of the core</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
\[\ddot{u}_{gi}, \ddot{v}_{gi}, \dot{w}_{gi}\]

Accelerations components of the face sheets, \((i=t,b)\)

\[Z_t, Z_b, Z_c\]

Normal coordinates in the mid-plane of the top and the bottom face sheets and the core

\[dV_t, dV_c, dV_b\]

Volume elements of the top face sheet, the core, and the bottom face sheet, respectively

### Greek Letters

\[\rho_c, \rho_b, \rho_c\]

Material densities of the face sheets and the core

\[\sigma_{ji}\]

Normal stress in the face sheets, \((i=x,y), j=(t,b)\)

\[\sigma_{ij}\]

Normal stress in the core, \((i=x,y,z)\)

\[\tau_{xy}^f, \tau_{xz}^f, \tau_{yz}^f\]

Shear stress in the face sheets, \((i=(t,b))\)

\[\varepsilon_{xy}^f, \varepsilon_{xy}^c, \varepsilon_{yy}^c\]

The mid-plane strain components, \((i=t,b)\)

\[\varepsilon_{xz}^f, \varepsilon_{xz}^c, \varepsilon_{yz}^c\]

Normal strains components of the core layer

\[\gamma_{xz}, \gamma_{xy}, \gamma_{xy}\]

Shear strains components of the core layer

\[\phi_{ix}, \phi_{iy}\]

Rotation of the normal section of mid-surface of the top face sheet and the core bottom face sheet along \(x\) and \(y\), respectively \((i=t,b)\)
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